

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/424,052	05/16/2000	Benedikt Sas	4532670/2062	7499
•	7590 01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Kent A Herink			KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE	
Davis Brown	Koehn Shors & Roberts			
The Financial Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
666 Walnut Street Suite 2500			1761	
Des Moines, IA 50309-3993			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/424,052	SAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sarah L Kuhns	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	ay 2000.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4 at line 29 "of" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

Claims 4 and 5 and objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only depend on multiple claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In step (iii) agglomerate is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear from the specification as to what "partially dissolved" specifically includes and excludes.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al., EP 0 052 919 A2. In example 22, Chen discloses a method of preparing a food additive comprising mixing crystalline carrier particles with an additive compound; applying to the thus produced mixture a sugar solution solid under conditions such that the carrier particles are partially dissolved; and allowing the mixture to cocrystallize and agglomerate so as to form particles of increased average size as compared to the said carrier particles. This example uses dry milk and one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that dry milk contains both non-toxic soluble salts, such as sodium chloride, and additives.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Battist et al., U.S. Patent 5,518,551.

In regard to claim 6, Battist discloses a particulate food additive comprising a non-toxic soluble salt (sodium chloride, column 10, lines 60-65), a food additive (column 9, lines 5-8), and a sugar (column 9, lines 9-11), which have been subjected to cocrystallization (column 9, lines 1-4).

In regard to claims 7 and 8, Battist discloses a foodstuff made by mixing the foodstuff with the particulate food additive (column 14, lines 12-62).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McCoy et al, U.S. Patent 4,379,177, teaches that sodium chloride is a preferred cocrystallizer material.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272-1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**SLK** 

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER